1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
	PROPOSED LEASE SALE 193 CHUKCHI SEA
6	
	DRAFT EIS HEARING
7	
	WAINWRIGHT
8	
9	Taken December 7, 2006
	Commencing at 7:20 p.m.
10	
	Volume I - Pages 1 - 57, inclusive
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
	Taken at
17	Robert James Community Center
	Wainwright, Alaska
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
	Reported by:
23	Mary A. Vavrik, RMR
24	
25	

```
1
                         A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S
 2
      For Minerals Management Service:
 3
                 Fred R. King
                 Hearing Officer
 4
                 Peter Johnson
 5
                 Geophysicist
 6
                 Mike Salyer
                 EIS Coordinator
 7
                 Albert Barros
                 Community Liaison
 8
 9
10
      Taken by:
11
                 Mary A. Vavrik, RMR
12
13
      BE IT KNOWN that the aforementioned proceedings were taken
14
      at the time and place duly noted on the title page, before
15
      Mary A. Vavrik, Registered Merit Reporter and Notary
      Public within and for the State of Alaska.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	HEARING OFFICER: My name is Fred King,
3	and I'll be the hearing officer for this meeting. I'm
4	with the Minerals Management Service, and we are out of
5	Anchorage, Alaska. With me is Mike Salyer, who is the EIS
б	coordinator for this project. And I also have Peter
7	Johnson, who is with our Resource Evaluation Unit, and
8	Albert Barros, who is our community liaison person, that's
9	the four of us with MMS. We also have because this is
10	a hearing, we have Mary Vavrik, who is a court reporter,
11	and she is taking verbatim testimony as people give it.
12	Before we start this meeting, if it's okay with
13	everybody, we would like to ask George to give a blessing.
14	(George Agnasagga gives a blessing.)
15	HEARING OFFICER: I would also like to
16	apologize to the community. We tried to get in here a
17	couple of weeks ago and got weathered out, so we have
18	rescheduled this meeting. And we are sorry we couldn't
19	make the first meeting, but weather got the best of us.
20	We are prepared to go through a quick briefing on
21	Sale 193, but this is we're here to hear your
22	testimony. So if you would like us to if you would
23	like Mike to give about a ten-minute briefing based on the
24	information that you have got, he will be glad to do it,
25	or we can go to testimony.

If there aren't too many objections, I'll go ahead 1 and ask Mike to do a quick briefing, and if there are a 2 3 few questions, clarifications, you can go ahead and ask them as he's going through. And then as soon as he's 4 done, what I'd like to do is if you would like to testify, 5 6 if you would please come up here and sit at the seat, that way our court reporter can pick you up on the mike. And 7 we would also ask you to give your name and spell it so we 8 9 get it right. So if you will go ahead, Mike.

10 MR. SALYER: Good evening. I'd like to start out, really appreciate you all having us in the 11 12 village this evening. And if you picked up a little packet, real briefly it's just some general information on 13 Lease Sale 193, environmental impact statement. The 14 15 environmental impact statement right now is in its draft 16 form, and it's out for comment. The comment period on draft -- the draft EIS is December 26th. And you will see 17 18 that in the slide presentation.

19 On the first page, you see these green boxes, a 20 little flow chart here. That's simply outlining the 21 environmental impact statement process for NEPA for this 22 lease sale, for Minerals Management Service. And we are 23 sort of at that date. October 2006 is where we mailed out 24 the EIS, and that kind of shows you the comment period and 25 the times associated with the process. We are expecting

that the lease sale will -- if everything gets approved and decisions get made, if it was going to go forward, it would be in November of 2007. Of course, that's up to the Secretary of Interior.

5 But tonight we are here just to present some brief 6 information and mainly to hear what your comments are on 7 the environmental impact statement.

So on the next page it simply has a little chart of 8 9 the different meetings, when they were held in the 10 villages, some background information just explaining how it's a special interest sale. And that really gets us to 11 12 the proposed action that the environmental impact statement covers. And as you can see, we have a couple 13 14 maps up here. And the prepared action is the program area 15 which occurs in the bold green area of the Chukchi Sea. 16 And you also have this map attached in your packet, in the 17 back area of the packet here.

18 Now, I want you to notice that there is a 15- to 19 25-mile area that is excluded from this right from the beginning, okay, taking care of some of the lead system 20 21 issues. And it's just the green line. That's the program 22 area. And toward the end of last year, there were some 23 scoping meetings held in the village. Some of you all may have attended those. And we try to take the information 24 25 we obtained from everyone and incorporate that into the

1 environmental impact statement.

2	And as a result of those scoping meetings, we were
3	able to create some deferrals as alternatives. And we are
4	just going to look at those real briefly. On the second
5	page you have the proposed action sort of broken down.
6	There is a lot of numbers. It's mainly just pertaining to
7	that green outlined area. It's roughly 34 million acres,
8	and it excludes the spring lead system.

9 On the bottom slide it shows some of the biggest 10 concerns that were raised in the scoping process that we 11 have tried to address in that document. That's what we 12 want to hear from you all tonight on whether you all think 13 we have addressed those or not.

14 Turning the page, it has a brief description you will 15 see entitled Lease Sale 193 deferrals. Those are our 16 alternatives. We have the whole program area as one alternative. We have alternatives twos and no action 17 alternative, alternative three, which is Corridor I 18 deferral. That's the largest. That's encompassed in this 19 purple or lavender area that's a deferral alternative. 20 21 Now, the fourth alternative is simply all of Corridor 22 II. It encompasses a little west area. It's in the blue 23 hatch marks. I apologize, it's hard to see on the map but, again, it's in the map in your packet. That's what 24 25 we did the analysis on in the environmental impact

1 statement.

2	Again, the last couple slides are just a brief
3	overview of the process and the different dates that are
4	milestones. And again, where we are at right now is in a
5	comment period that's going to last till December 26 on
6	the draft. And then we'll put together a final
7	environmental impact statement. There will be another
8	chance to comment from there.
9	That's a brief overview of Lease Sale 193 EIS. And
10	at that point we can answer questions or go to hearing.
11	HEARING OFFICER: One more thing. Would
12	you explain what the second map is?
13	MR. SALYER: Sure. The second map here is
14	sort of a historical map, if you will, of past lease sales
15	that have occurred in Chukchi. At present there are no
16	leases out there, but over the past roughly 15 years, this
17	map depicts past leases and past wells that were drilled
18	and that were capped. So that's what this is showing here
19	within the outlined program area in the green. Okay.
20	HEARING OFFICER: One of the reasons we
21	brought that is people quite often say where do you think
22	there is going to be leasing or where is the oil industry
23	interested. This is where they were interested before.
24	There was a lot of things that they bought that they
25	didn't drill wells or anything on, so its probably our

best guesstimate at this point indication of maybe where 1 companies are interested. So that's why that's included. 2 3 MR. SALYER: Very good. 4 HEARING OFFICER: Are there any other questions we can respond to before we take testimony? 5 6 Okay. If not, I think we would like to -- we will be 7 quiet for a bit and ask anybody who would like to come up and give us comments to -- again, if you would come up and 8 9 sit here and give us your name and spell it so we get your 10 name correctly. While people are formulating their comments, I would 11 12 also like to thank the Native village who has agreed to give some door prizes. I'll acknowledge them, and I 13 assume we will be drawing for those door prizes later. 14 We won't draw for them early. I'm afraid I'd lose my crowd 15 16 here. 17 MR. GEORGE AGNASAGGA: Hi. My name is 18 George Agnasagga, A-G-N-A-S-A-G-G-A. I was looking at the 19 two maps. The first one is the relinquished area. And you will notice on all the relinquished area they are 20 21 further out into the ocean. And if you look to the newer 22 map, you will notice that the corridors that we are 23 talking about now is much closer to shore. Is there a reason behind that or --24 25 HEARING OFFICER: Yes. The corridors that

we are identifying there are areas for the Secretary to 1 2 consider eliminating from the sale. So our EIS said this 3 would be the benefits and the protection that would be 4 added if you deferred or took those areas out of the sale. MR. SALYER: To not explore. 5 6 HEARING OFFICER: So we would not issue any leases or offer that for leases if the Secretary took 7 those out. And the reason we are looking at them is when 8 9 we came here in scoping, these were what we heard from the 10 communities. If you were to go forward with the lease sale, you need to go further offshore, so you need to take 11 12 these areas out of the sale. So that's not looking at where the sale would be offered, but those would be areas 13 that have been suggested should be removed from the sale. 14 15 MR. GEORGE AGNASAGGA: Okay. That's what 16 I understood several months ago when we had a meeting, and that's why I had a question on the corridor. Thank you. 17 18 HEARING OFFICER: That's fine. 19 MR. SALYER: That's a good question. HEARING OFFICER: Just to follow up, we 20 21 have two different degrees of how much area gets deferred. 22 And that's why there is two of them there. And then 23 again, there is always -- the other option that's analyzed 24 in the EIS is a no sale option or do nothing. So there is 25 those two deferrals and a do nothing and the proposal, so

there is four options available to the Secretary. And
 when I say the Secretary, this is the Secretary of
 Interior, Secretary Kempthorne.

MR. BILLY NASHOALOOK, SR.: I'd rather ask 4 questions from over there. I think there will be a lot of 5 6 people that would want to ask but afraid to come up here. 7 I'm Billy Nashoalook. I live here all my life. And one question that I had and one story that was told that I 8 9 witnessed for myself back in -- when was Western 10 Geophysical? A long time ago. MR. JACK PANIK: '69. 11 12 MR. BILLY NASHOALOOK: When they were doing seismic testing on that, but they went offshore the 13 first part of April. I was out caribou hunting and we 14 15 went as far as Icy Cape, and we had a trap line go 16 straight out in the ocean. And we were afraid to follow 17 it because they did have snowmachines running over the lines. We never tried to follow it. And following 18 19 whaling season, I guess they were blasting right through the ice. That was in early part of April. We did not 20 21 sight not one whale all spring. There was not one whale 22 caught, not one whale sighted. I was out there whaling 23 with them. We went paddling as far as 30 miles out. There was not a -- we didn't see no sign of any kind of 24 25 whale, except for one walrus. That's the only thing I saw

that far. And looking at this map on the deferral 1 corridor this area is about 20 miles out, you said? 2 3 HEARING OFFICER: About 25 miles. 4 MR. NASHOALOOK: And we do go out 30 to 35 miles sometimes, and that's right on the migrating area of 5 6 our whales that come from Point Hope and go straight to 7 this point. So that's why I say it's best to -- unless if you guys know what -- how you are going to -- unless you 8 9 know what -- how you are going to take care of any oil 10 spills or any kind of mess any time during the -- and may 11 I ask when will the drilling take place? 12 HEARING OFFICER: It's not 100 percent 13 guaranteed, but because of the water depths we are facing 14 here, I think exploration drilling would probably have to 15 occur during the open water season, but one of our 16 requirements is they have to meet with the communities, an 17 oil company if they get it, and they have to agree to a 18 conflict avoidance agreement when they can operate and 19 what they can do and everything so they aren't disturbing the whale hunt. 20 21 MR. NASHOALOOK: The reason I ask was we

have been hearing from Nuiqsut that has had to go farther and farther out to catch their whales now because of the oil drilling out in the Beaufort. So that's what we are afraid is going to be happening. We will probably have to

go about as far as 100 miles out is what we need. 1 In the fall, that's when we -- no more whaling for fall whaling 2 3 because they don't even -- we can sight some about 30, 40 4 miles out if we are lucky coming back, but most of the time they are out right through the -- way past that. 5 6 They go straight out to -- what's that island across --HEARING OFFICER: Wrangell? 7 MR. NASHOALOOK: Wrangell Island? Yeah. 8 9 Fall whales go straight across, not around here. And if 10 they get blocked off, where are they going if you are going to do summer whaling. And that noise do carry a 11 12 long way in the water. Thank you. 13 MR. SALYER: Thank you, sir. 14 HEARING OFFICER: Would more of you be 15 willing to talk if you talk from your seats? But I still 16 need you to give us your names and stuff so we can get 17 them, and talk loudly enough so that Mary can record what 18 you are saying. But if you would rather just talk from 19 the audience, that's fine. We just need to make sure we 20 get your name. 21 MR. TERRY TAGAROOK: Good evening. I'm 22 Terry Tagarook, a resident of Wainwright. Maybe you could 23 give us a bit of the seismic activity that was done this 24 past summer.

HEARING OFFICER: You want to take a few

25

1 minutes?

MR. JOHNSON: Okay. This summer there 2 3 were three companies that worked in Chukchi Sea and in the Beaufort Sea collecting seismic data. Two of them were 4 collecting 3-D seismic data and one was, I believe, 5 6 collecting 2-D data. I'm not positive of that. The 7 companies were Shell Oil, ConocoPhillips and GXT. GXT is a Canadian company. I can't tell you exactly where they 8 9 collected. I don't know personally, and it's also 10 proprietary. And it's proprietary because these companies are competing against each other, so they don't want to 11 12 let the other companies know where they are collecting the 13 data.

But they were out during the open-water period. I believe GXT completed the seismic testing in early November. The others had completed it before that. And most of the testing was done in the Chukchi Sea because the Beaufort Sea had too much ice. They couldn't get the boats over to the Chukchi Sea.

And in the process there is a number of stipulations that they had to follow. There are very specific areas where they had to stay out of. They also had to have an Inupiat observer on board to look for marine mammals, whales in particular, but also seals and walrus and other marine mammals. And if they came upon the marine mammals,

they would have to shut down their operations, and then -or ramp them up slowly as -- if a marine mammal was a certain distance from the boat.

4 And the distance was determined by the loudness of the noise that was created by the seismic air vents. If 5 6 the whales were further away, then -- a certain decibel 7 level that they would hear, then it was considered they could go ahead with the -- with their seismic shoot. 8 If 9 the noise was too loud, the whales were too close, then 10 they were forced to shut down until the whales moved off, and seals and other marine mammals. 11

You have other questions about that or -- do I have them covered or --

MR. TAGALOOK: Thank you. And I would also like to ask, on the map on the left, when they did the exploration, were there any findings of oil in those areas?

18 MR. JOHNSON: There was -- there was 19 findings of possibly gas more than oil, but some oil. In this area here, there is -- all these colored blocks were 20 21 blocks that were leased in two lease sales in the late 22 1980s, early 1990s. I can't remember exactly which year 23 now. Out of these areas -- and most of the blocks were purchased by Shell Oil or leased by Shell Oil. And Shell 24 25 drilled these four wells in these areas, and then I

believe another company -- I can't remember which one. 1 2 HEARING OFFICER: I thought it was ARCO. 3 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. I think ARCO drilled 4 this well later on. The highest probability prospect was this one they call Burger, which is, I guess, off of Icy 5 6 Cape. And I have to give you a very approximate idea of 7 how far offshore that is. Some 30 -- it's about 60 miles offshore or so. There is also some -- some shows in this 8 9 area here, but I know Dimond itself, this one over here, 10 was not as productive. So this is the area that was leased. And yes, there is very -- some good probability 11 12 of some oil and gas in the -- in the Burger area. HEARING OFFICER: Back when Burger was 13 14 drilled, that was when the oil prices crashed and stuff, 15 and so it wasn't economic then. Whether or not it would 16 be economic now -- and if I remember right, MMS considers 17 the Burger prospect as having -- I want to say it's well 18 in excess of 10 trillion cube feet of gas, a very large 19 gas field. But again, without a market or anything to it, it's unknown whether companies will bid on it because they 20 21 have only got a ten-year lease term -- whether or not they 22 would bid on it because they would have to do something 23 and develop it within that primary term to be able to 24 produce it.

25

So it's unknown whether anybody would come in and bid

1 on a gas field right now with the price -- with the 2 situation in gas and the fact there is not a ready way to 3 get it to market.

4 MR. TAGALOOK: While we are on the subject of where they were drilling, could you explain to the 5 6 people if these were capped and how they were capped? 7 MR. JOHNSON: Yes. All wells -- and I don't have the technology in my mind exactly how they do 8 9 it, but all the wells are abandoned and they are capped. 10 And I do know what they will do is they will pump a lot of mud down in those wells, and that would keep any kind of 11 12 pressure that's underground from coming up. Any of the oil, if they had any oil that was at risk of coming up, 13 they would have that well capped with the mud. And then 14 15 they also put a large amount of cement in the ground, and 16 that keeps that sealed. I believe they also put a metal 17 cap on top, but I'm not absolutely positive of that. I'd 18 have to find out more about that.

HEARING OFFICER: I believe they have to cut out and do all of that a certain depth below sea level.

22 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. There is nothing 23 exposed above the sea floor at any of these locations. 24 Any of these are cut -- the top of those wells are cut at 25 the sea floor.

1 MR. TAGALOOK: Thank you. And I also have 2 another question. Would the oil companies be willing to 3 do some studies on the ocean floor where the crabs and the 4 other -- other ones that crawl on the bottom of the ocean, 5 on the ocean floor?

6 HEARING OFFICER: I'm probably not in a 7 position to tell you what the oil companies would be willing or wouldn't be willing to do. If MMS saw a need, 8 9 an information need or something and we didn't have the 10 information and something we could tell them you have to 11 go collect it because we don't have it. Normally that 12 type of information is something that MMS, if there is a need for it to be collected, would probably try to do. 13 We, however, are restricted by budget constraints, so 14 15 whether or not we would spend money, for example, doing 16 that versus maybe doing more bowhead research or walrus 17 research or something gets into a priority problem. It's 18 not something I would say wouldn't be done, but I don't 19 know how high a priority that would be or how soon it would get done. 20

21 MR. TAGALOOK: What are the chances of 22 doing oil development out there in the ocean if they found 23 considerable amount of oil?

24 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. If they found 25 considerable amount of oil, there is a step phrased

process they have to go through. First we would have to make the decision to proceed with oil leasing. And the companies would come in and they bid, and they bid against each other. And whoever is the high bidder, then MMS also goes in and evaluates it and we determine if the government and the people are getting fair market value. If the bid is not high enough, we reject it.

If a company gets a bid, then they have the ability 8 9 to move forward, but they have to come to us for an 10 exploration. They have to come in and say this is how we want to explore it. And then we come in and write another 11 12 NEPA document and come back out to the communities and figure out the best way for them to go about exploring it 13 and make sure that it's safe. And the company would then 14 15 be -- probably take one, two or three summers to drill 16 wells. And if they found something maybe the first summer, they might have to come back consecutive summers 17 18 to get enough holes in a structure to determine that it's 19 economic.

At the point they determine it's economic, then they could come back in and provide a development plan, which again goes back through another review where we come back to the communities, we look at the additional information. We have a lot more information at that point because we know where they developed oil. We know where they want to

1 run a route, how to get it out, when to get it out, what 2 they are doing, when they are planning on doing it. And 3 that way we can meet with the communities and work with 4 the communities to determine the best way to minimize 5 impacts should we permit it to go forward.

6 MR. TAGALOOK: If the oil companies are 7 not going to do any studies on the ocean floor where the 8 clams, crabs that the seals, sea mammals depend on, the 9 walruses depend on the clams, maybe MMS could do some 10 studies.

HEARING OFFICER: That's why I'm saying it 11 12 may be MMS that needs to do the studies versus the others. The only thing I want to be cautious about there is 13 sometimes we have to look at what's the most important 14 15 thing to spend money on with our limited budget, but it's 16 certainly something we will take notes on and take back 17 and consider and see. The other thing we had is 18 earlier -- I quess it was the end of November. We had a 19 meeting to where we brought in scientists from the North Slope Borough, scientists from other agencies, from 20 21 universities and stuff that had done work up here, and we 22 had a meeting and we asked them to help us determine the 23 types of studies that needed to be done and put them in a 24 priority ranking.

25

And I cannot tell you the outcomes of that yet, but

that's what we are working on would be -- and I'm sure we got more studies than we have funding to do it, but it was to get them going so we could go back and start collecting information and get the most important information first. But we now have kind of a list that we are going to start working from to keep getting better and better information.

Another thing is if they were to develop this, my 8 9 best estimate would be it's going to be two to five years 10 before you see a company out there exploring and drilling. 11 They are going to be two to three years exploring, 12 drilling wells and stuff before they find something. It's going to take them a couple of years after that to get 13 ready for development, go through the planning, and you 14 15 are probably least 10 to 12 years away before you would 16 actually see anything near production out there at the earliest. 17

18 And if you look at over in the Beaufort Sea, 19 Northstar, which is in the state and part federal, that's 20 the first offshore development that's happened there. And 21 there is potential for Liberty. And both of those are on 22 leases that had been issued -- Northstar was probably a 23 lease that's been around for 20 years. And Liberty has 24 been around for about ten years. So it takes a long time 25 for companies to put everything together, put their plans

1 right, come in and go through the processes and drill it. 2 It's not like we lease today and tomorrow they are out 3 there trying to put a production platform in. 4 MR. TAGALOOK: Those two companies that you just mentioned, what are the activities and did it 5 6 affect the marine mammals? 7 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. It's actually BP who is in charge of both of those, and they have been 8 9 required to go out there and do monitoring, and there is a 10 whole bunch of monitoring. Plus MMS has done a bunch of 11 monitoring both before they started to put the development 12 in and then after things have been going on. And they have been required to do a whole bunch of acoustic 13 monitoring, see how far sound travels from the island, 14 15 trying to determine if whales are being deflected or not 16 deflected. And to the best of my knowledge, we haven't 17 seen any significant big changes in what's happening. But 18 we continue to monitor that. 19 We have not seen any -- I think as far as the sea 20 bottom and what happened from construction and stuff like 21 that, we did not see a lot of change in the -- except where the actual island was, we haven't seen changes in 22

23 the environment around the island.

24 MR. TAGALOOK: If -- if the oil companies 25 were successful in finding oil, would they put a structure

1

out there in the ocean?

HEARING OFFICER: Most likely they would 2 3 have to put one structure out there. They can use what 4 they call subsea completions where you would maybe, rather than have a whole bunch of little islands, which would be 5 6 very expensive and stuff, they would probably have one and 7 then they would go out and dig a hole in the ocean floor and put everything down below that so it's lower. But I 8 9 can't see any way that the oil companies could develop 10 this without having some type of structure out in the 11 ocean. 12 MR. TAGALOOK: So whoever wins will have to tell MMS what they are going to do to get to the oil? 13 HEARING OFFICER: It's not only MMS, but 14 15 it would be -- we would be coming back to the communities 16 with this is their plans. And we also require them to go 17 through -- with the regulations we require them to go 18 through a whole bunch of engineering stuff to show that 19 they have got these studies and this is what they know about the environment, the ice movement, the waves and 20 21 everything else, and that they have engineered this 22 structure to be capable of withstanding all of those 23 things. If it's not safe, we aren't going to authorize it. So we try to go through a number of different 24 25 processes to make sure that what's going out there is both

engineering and environmentally safe and sound to the best
 that we can do it.

3 So it's not they come in with a plan, we automatically say go do it. There is quite a review 4 process, and there can be change and modifications. And 5 6 you know, part of it -- this is where communities get in 7 when they are allowed to work, when they are not allowed to work, where this route goes. There is probably going 8 9 to have to be some type of a base for them to work from; 10 where is that located at. Communities, the North Slope 11 people can have some say in where those things happen. 12 MR. TAGALOOK: All I can say is I'm more concerned about the sea mammals that we depend on. And in 13 the environment, the sea floor is where the food chain is. 14 15 And I'm hoping that the oil companies will take it into 16 consideration to be careful and do what's best for our

17 people up here on the Slope.

18 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. What I will do is 19 when I get back, I will talk to our studies people and see what I can find out, and I will try to send you an e-mail 20 or a letter stating what came out of the meetings we had 21 22 and what studies, if any are being planned for the sea 23 floor; and if there aren't any, I'll see if I can find out why they came to that conclusion. But I will get back to 24 25 you.

MR. TAGALOOK: Do you have any newsletters
 of your activities with your meetings?

HEARING OFFICER: Actually, the meetings that we hold for, like, this sale and stuff, when we put out the final EIS, all those are in there, but as far as a newsletter on the studies, we put out an annual study plan. And there will probably be reports on this. And I will try to make sure that both of those come to the community.

10 We have a web page. I don't know that -- you can go there and look for information. Our web page is mms.gov. 11 12 And we have information and stuff on there. I'll bring up the subject to see if they want to consider putting out a 13 newsletter, if it would be useful. The other thing I 14 15 would say is if the community feels like they need us to 16 come in more often -- there is a good and bad in MMS coming in more often, you know. People get tired of 17 seeing us and stuff, but if we need to come up and meet 18 19 with the communities and keep you apprised of what's going on, that's something we need to do. 20

We would probably appreciate feedback from the communities because communities get overloaded with government people and industry and everybody else coming in and demanding your time and demanding meetings. And we hear that quite a bit.

1 MR. TAGALOOK: And having grown up in 2 Wainwright, we were taught by our elders to show respect 3 to our land and our sea. And I'm hoping that you pass this onto the oil companies. Respect our ocean if you are 4 going to be doing any development out there. 5 6 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And one other 7 thing, again, that -- one of the things we require is the companies, if they are going to do anything, either 8

9 exploration or development or anything else, they have to 10 come and meet with the communities, explain what they are 11 doing, give a chance for you folks to get involved. And 12 they have to -- for example, we require a conflict avoidance agreement so that for exploration and things 13 like that they are not coming in and interfering with 14 15 your -- with your whale hunt and your other subsistence 16 activities.

17 So we are requiring them to come and communicate, and 18 then MMS also has a responsibility to come and communicate 19 with you, too. I'm not saying it's all the oil companies have to do it. We have some responsibility there, too. 20 21 MR. TAGALOOK: And I think I've got 22 nothing else to say, but I'm sure there is some people 23 that will voice their concerns. Thank you. 24 MR. SALYER: Thank you, sir. Thank you.

MR. GEORGE AGNASAGGA: Looking at this

25

map, we followed the trail of the whales that were tagged 1 2 from Barrow straight out to Wrangell Islands. Took a 3 B-line. And with the elders that we have been talking to 4 these past few years about whales coming in from Point Hope area to Cape Lisburne, they would make a straight 5 6 B-line from the Cape Lisburne area straight toward Icy 7 Cape and into Wainwright. Now, if you make a separate line between Icy Cape and Cape Lisburne, you will see some 8 9 of this black line will be too far into the land, but what 10 I would like to see is this line over here on this corner here to be further out so that you would have a B-line 11 12 from Cape Lisburne to Icy Cape. That would not disturb the whales' migration during the springtime. 13 HEARING OFFICER: Let me explain the black 14 15 line to you. 16 MR. GEORGE AGNASAGGA: I think I know what it is. 17 18 HEARING OFFICER: We started this -- every 19 five years MMS has to develop a five-year program. And this sale that we are working on is started under the 20 21 current 2002 to 2007 program. And the green line that 22 goes there was the program area decided, which would be 23 the maximum area we could lease. So that's there, and we started this process. In July of this coming year, that 24 25 program will end. The sale hasn't happened. So the sale

will actually occur in the next five-year program. 1 The Secretary of Interior in his proposed program said I'm 2 3 going to defer everything 25 miles and out from being 4 considered in the next five-year plan. Right now if he makes that decision, which we expect 5 6 he will, the area that will be offered will be either the 7 black line or the green line, which either is furthest 8 from shore. 9 MR. GEORGE AGNASAGGA: That would be the green line over here. 10 HEARING OFFICER: So it would be the green 11 12 line there. In other places it would be the black line. Okay? Now, that's his proposed program. I can't say 100 13 percent that it's going to happen, but I have never seen a 14 Secretary make a proposed program, take an area out and 15 16 then put it back in. 17 MR. GEORGE AGNASAGGA: It depends who the 18 Secretary is, too. 19 HEARING OFFICER: But secretaries haven't 20 come it me, and I don't always tell them what they have to 21 do. But that's what the black line is. I would expect, 22 at a minimum if this goes forward, that the black line 23 will be the new boundary where it's further from shore. Otherwise, it will be the green area. 24 25 MR. GEORGE AGNASAGGA: Okay. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. You are
 dealing with government, and we are able to make
 everything complicated.

MR. TAGALOOK: It's me again, Terry
Tagalook. And just one question. What would it take to
stop future oil leases out in the Chukchi Sea?

7 HEARING OFFICER: It would take a decision by the Secretary or by Congress. The Secretary definitely 8 9 has the power to not approve this sale, to not approve any 10 future sales. Congress also at different times has created moratoriums, which is taking areas off. Right 11 12 now, as part of the President's energy program and everything else, this has come down more or less a 13 directive from the federal government through our agencies 14 15 that we should encourage and try to offer more lands for 16 oil development stuff. But the President would be the 17 other person who could stop it.

But those are probably the three levels. It's going to be either Congress, the Secretary, or the President who could stop this. And that's the other thing. That's why we're here. And what happens with these meetings, if people are against it, we take your testimony; when we summarize it, these are things that we tell the Secretary, when we were out, this is what we heard.

25 MR. TAGALOOK: Thank you.

1 HEARING OFFICER: And in fact, I think the 2 last one I was here in scoping, one of the things we got 3 is we got a map, and a lot of people signed on the back of the map they were against it. And that's part of the 4 record and that's part of what we identified to the 5 6 Secretary. And I will acknowledge we have been here 7 before. We have heard that you are against oil and gas 8 leasing, and we understand why. We present that. And we 9 make sure they know that that's what we heard. 10 MS. MARGARET AHMAOGAK: I'm Margaret 11 Ahmaogak. I usually don't say anything, but I'm a mother and a grandmother. I definitely am against this oil lease 12 sale in the Chukchi. You can drill anywhere else but in 13 14 our ocean. Thank you. 15 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 16 MR. TAGALOOK: Just on the humorous side, 17 you can go drill by my house. 18 HEARING OFFICER: I might get in trouble 19 because I don't have the authority. MR. TAGALOOK: I'll give you permission. 20 HEARING OFFICER: Do I have to split it if 21 I find the oil? 22 23 MR. TAGALOOK: Well, if you find gas, just 24 hook me up. 25 HEARING OFFICER: Yes. Gas would be nice

1 here, wouldn't it?

25

2 MR. TAGALOOK: Yeah. With the oil prices 3 going up, we need gas.

4 MR. JACK PANIK: My name is Jack Panik. 5 I'm a whaling captain, and commissioner for Alaska Eskimo 6 Whaling Commission. And if -- if the drilling was 7 supposed to start out there, will MMS be involved out 8 there watching them or what?

9 HEARING OFFICER: Yes. What happens is if 10 a company comes in and presents us with an exploration 11 plan, we go through the process of community involvement. 12 And then at the point that there are supposed to be drilling, there are a number of things. Number one is we 13 will inspect the vessel or whatever they are going to be 14 15 using for drilling before it comes on site to make sure 16 it's worthy and adequate and everything else. And then during the drilling process, we have an inspector on board 17 18 the whole time that it's drilling.

Now, there are some cases where in the Beaufort, for example, they brought the rig, put it on site and then gone into like cold storage. And if it's not drilling or doing anything, we wouldn't have an inspector on site. But when it is drilling, we try to have an inspector on site all the time it's doing exploration drilling.

MR. JACK PANIK: What about Native

1 observers?

2	HEARING OFFICER: At this point it's we
3	haven't required those. That doesn't mean that as we are
4	developing it and with AEWC involvement, conflict
5	avoidance, all those other things that are going to be
6	part, if there is a need for a Native observer or a desire
7	for one, that may be something that could be accommodated.
8	You mean for seismic.
9	Now, for seismic, we do have Native observers on the
10	seismic, but you're talking drilling.
11	MR. JACK PANIK: Drilling, yes.
12	HEARING OFFICER: In the past there has
13	not been, to the best of my knowledge, a requirement for a
14	full-time Native person there doing observations. That
15	doesn't say that would be off the table, but it isn't a
16	requirement right now.
17	MR. JACK PANIK: So if there is drilling
18	going on, will it be in the open water all year round
19	or
20	HEARING OFFICER: My guess and this is
21	my guess from what I know. Exploration drilling, I would
22	say, over the next ten years would most likely have to
23	occur during the open water and be negotiated with the
24	local communities so that it would occur when it wouldn't
25	interfere with subsistence or minimize the effects to

subsistence. I don't see any way that they could put a
 rig together and go out there and do it over the ice.

3 And my understanding of the ice and everything out 4 there, they probably can't drill from the ice like they have some places in the Beaufort. So I don't see any way 5 6 it would be anything other than a vessel during open water 7 or near open water. They may have to get something out there and get some ice breakers or something after the 8 9 whaling has stopped to extend it or complete it or 10 something, but I don't see any way it would be anything 11 but open water.

12 That's -- that's the best information I have. I 13 can't say that's 100 percent, but I can't see any way they 14 could operate any other way. That's part of why I'm 15 saying it would probably take multiple years because they 16 are probably going to get one well, two wells at the most 17 from a rig during the season, and so it's going to take 18 them a while to do their exploration.

MR. JOHNSON: Maybe I can add one thing, that there is really two phases to going from a discovery to development. And the first part is exploration where they can put a rig out there that's a temporary rig that will only be there for a short period of time, enough time to drill the well, and then they'll move it off someplace else. And the companies like to use those rigs to drill

several wells because it's cheaper for them to move one 1 around than to bring a rig up every other year. 2 3 Once they've made a discovery, then they have to go 4 to decision as to whether to actually develop that discovery. And that would be a much longer process in 5 6 which you would have to have much more permanent fixtures 7 out there that would last many years while they would have these -- doing the drilling for the discovery. 8 9 So there is exploration and development --10 exploration drilling and then there is developmental 11 drilling, and there is different things. Right now 12 development drilling would be a long, long way into the future if they ever find something that's worth 13 14 developing. 15 MR. PANIK: All right. Thank you. 16 MR. GEORGE AGNASAGGA: I have a question. I would recommend that there if there is a way we can have 17 18 the oil companies -- prevent the oil companies to coming 19 out in our ocean to test drilling, the daily activities around that wintering area of the whales where they stay 20 21 for the winters out by St. Lawrence Island. Any activities around there, it might be useful to try going 22 23 down there to test to see if there is, you know -- or get 24 them used to the north. This is when they migrate.

25 HEARING OFFICER: To the best of my

knowledge, I'm getting way out here. The only thing I 1 know that would be is if there was anything around 2 3 Sakhalin or stuff. But I don't know of any -- MMS has no 4 plans, at least in the next five-year, to have areas further down -- at least where my perception is is where 5 6 the bowhead overwinters -- that's not being offered for 7 lease, or oil companies could not go down there and at least do any exploration activities or something. This 8 9 would be a concept, I guess, that would be something that 10 would be considered, but I know from meetings I have been to with the AEWC and stuff that they have been concerned 11 12 about some proposed tests and different things to where noise and stuff would be scaring the bowhead whale or 13 anything else. It would be something that might be 14 15 possible, but it would definitely take a lot of 16 consideration, community involvement, and AEWC involvement 17 to make sure it was worth proceeding. Probably the other 18 one who'd have to buy off on it would be the National 19 Marine Fisheries Service because it's an endangered species. So whatever you do around the bowhead whale has 20 21 to go through their review and have their blessing.

I'd like to remind everybody if you would help my court reporter out and, again, she doesn't keep track of everybody's name. So even if you are testifying again, I'd appreciate it if you would mention your name.

1 MR. JOHN HOPSON: John Hopson. 2 HEARING OFFICER: How are you, John? 3 MR. JOHN HOPSON: Good. The beluga has --4 they are tagged. We have tagged belugas that tell us where they go and when they are at that spot. The bowhead 5 6 whales, recently we have those on there now. You can look 7 it up on the Internet. I think it's wildlife.org, or something. Are you using that information to base your 8 9 decisions on what happens out there, as well as the walrus 10 commissions and enough commissions activities? MR. SALYER: I was going to say, the 11 12 latest information is probably not in there because the document was already in preparation when it was 13 distributed. The new information we need to get in there 14 now. You understand what I'm saying? I have to see the 15 16 time frames of the data. If it was within the last, say, three or four months, it's probably not in there. Okay. 17 18 So if it's newer information, then we need to go get that 19 now and add it in there. And that's what we want to hear, that kind of information. 20 21 MR. JOHN HOPSON: Start typing. 22 MR. SALYER: Yeah, I agree. 23 HEARING OFFICER: John, one of the things, 24 I guess, is the purpose of these hearings is if people 25 know of information that's not in there that we should be

1 doing; that's hopefully what we are hearing both from 2 people like yourself and other scientists if they know 3 information or if they have misinterpreted information 4 that's there.

5 MR. JOHN HOPSON: Also, you said you meet 6 with AEWC. Do you also meet with the walrus commission, 7 the Nanook Commission, the Beluga Whale Commission?

8 HEARING OFFICER: I know we have met with 9 them. At least we may have gone to them and made annual 10 presentations.

MR. JOHN HOPSON: I think that ought to be posted up a little more because their information is being updated monthly. That's something that's going to help us protect our animals, their information that they have. To do it annually, you are going to leave out a piece that would be so important to us.

HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Let me rephrase, make sure -- we meet with them. I know we make presentations and stuff. If they have data and stuff, hopefully they will also coordinate with that. We will make notes and make sure we are coordinating to get their time.

24 MR. JOHN HOPSON: Have you guys received 25 information from the subsistence representatives or

1 observers that were out on the ships this past summer? 2 HEARING OFFICER: We have that 3 information, yes. 4 MR. JOHN HOPSON: And is that being used to our benefit or your benefit to go ahead and lease these 5 6 places out? 7 HEARING OFFICER: I think it was considered -- my understanding of the amount of marine 8 9 mammals and the sightings and stuff of what was there was 10 probably less than what was expected. And that's my 11 understanding, that there wasn't a lot of sightings and 12 stuff that was useful that provided a lot of new information, but I know it was available and it was 13 available to us. I think there was a daily log that was 14 15 similar to what came into the communities to where our 16 scientists could go look and see what they sighted. And I think the annual reports from the seismic efforts are 17 18 supposed to be coming out soon. 19 MR. JOHN HOBSON: What about human life? We understand there was a couple of deaths in the month of 20 21 September, August or September. There was quite a few

22 people who got sick, quite a few people who got injured.
23 Now, with what you guys are -- in your stipulations,
24 how -- how will that affect them? Are you making your
25 stipulations more strict so that this doesn't happen

1 again?

2 HEARING OFFICER: I'm sorry, John, but I 3 don't know the circumstances you are speaking to. I was unaware of any injuries that occurred during seismic 4 operations. 5 6 MR. JOHNSON: I haven't heard of any, 7 either. 8 MR. JOHN HOBSON: Well, there was. MR. JOHN HOPSON: You have the information 9 10 somewhere that we can --11 MR. JOHN HOBSON: Shell Oil has them, Conoco or BP; whoever was out there; Western Geco. It was 12 on the news quite a few times, people dying from diving, 13 people getting sick out there. 14 15 HEARING OFFICER: Let me back up there. 16 What you are talking about was a Navy ship over in the 17 Beaufort. 18 MR. JOHN HOBSON: I don't know who it was, 19 but that was on the news. 20 HEARING OFFICER: It was actually a U.S. 21 Navy ship over in the Beaufort. 22 MR. JOHN HOPSON: And they said it was oil 23 related on the news. HEARING OFFICER: No, it wasn't oil 24 25 related. They were doing scientific surveys, and they

1 actually put down some divers, but that was the U.S.

Navy -- actually, I think it was U.S. Coast Guard. Let me 2 3 correct myself. It was the U.S. Coast Guard, and they put 4 down some divers. And it had nothing to do with oil and gas or any of the oil companies. And they put down some 5 6 divers. But it was not anything permitted by the MMS. Ιt 7 had nothing to do with oil and gas operations. They were actually out on a scientific exploration and doing a whole 8 9 bunch of different research, but it was not oil and gas 10 related.

11 So I know what you are talking about there, but it 12 was not related to any of the seismic permitted operations 13 that we have. So now I'm talking about the same instance.

MR. JOHN HOBSON: The last one, back in 14 15 October we had to haul food out from here to a ship. And 16 it was from Western Geco. I knew of that instance because 17 I got paid to do it. I got paid to go out there and haul 18 their food for them from our store. They were a 19 single-hull ship that would not come into our inlet when the waters were rough because they were afraid of tearing 20 21 up their boat. What would ice do to that boat? They are 22 hauling -- they are storing diesel to run their engines. 23 And if ice cuts open their hull, there goes an oil spill. Can you make -- is it possible to make these ships 24 double hull for that safety of the animals in the ocean? 25

1 Can you put that in your stipulation to have double-hull 2 ships out there? We have a lot of ice, and you know that 3 for a fact.

4 HEARING OFFICER: I guess and my 5 understanding is it's possible. I think the way we look 6 at those regulations is that we expect the companies to 7 get out of there if they are doing seismic when we are 8 talking seismic ships. When they're out there doing 9 seismic, they need to get their seismic ships out 10 before --

11 MR. JOHN HOBSON: These are also supply 12 ships that head out there and help these seismic ships. 13 They having going to go out there where the ice is. I 14 don't want you guys to play stupid with me today. Okay. 15 I just want to make sure that we are protected.

16 MR. JOHNSON: Let me make one comment. 17 I'm not an engineer, so I don't have all the technology on 18 shipping. We have heard about double-hulled tankers, and 19 my understanding is a single-hull tanker, you have a plate of steel, and directly inside that plate of steel was the 20 21 oil that was stored in that tanker. A double-hulled 22 tanker, you'd have that plate of steel, a space, another 23 plate of steel with the oil in it. With a ship, what you have is the plate of steel is the hull of the ship, and 24 25 then you would have a fuel tank inside that hull of a

1 ship.

So it's not necessarily the case that if a ship hits 2 3 ice, it gets a hole in it, that any oil is going to -- any diesel fuel is going to spill. On the other hand, if any 4 ship sinks, then it is a possibility, whether it's got a 5 6 double hull or a single hull or whatever; it could get --7 MR. JOHN HOBSON: And just a life safety and health issue, as well as maintaining our marine 8 9 wildlife out there, keeping it clean. We have more ice 10 than anywhere else in the world, and the activities is 11 just growing. 12 MR. JOHNSON: I could find out for you what the stipulations are and the type of boat that they 13 are required to have. I think those stipulations are 14 15 there. And that would tell us whether they are required 16 to have reinforced hulls, for example, to prevent 17 damages. 18 HEARING OFFICER: I'm unaware of any 19 requirement for a reinforced hull. MR. JOHN HOBSON: It's something to think 20 21 about for the safety of everybody. And these guys wanted 22 food, but we couldn't get it to them for three days 23 because of weather. I couldn't get my little 22-foot out there, and he couldn't bring his 75-foot in because he was 24 25 afraid of running aground in his single hull is what he

said. And, you know, it's for the safety of everybody, 1 the marine mammals and people's lives. He said if he had 2 3 a double hull, it would reassure him he would be able to 4 come in, whether he hits ground or not. 5 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 6 MR. GEORGE AGNASAGGA: After sitting with the answers that you gave between the black line and green 7 line -- we are talking about Sale 193, right? 8 9 HEARING OFFICER: Yes. 10 MR. GEORGE AGNASAGGA: You look at this 11 map, you will see that the black is Sale 193. And 12 that's -- that's the line that shows it going into the cove near Cape Beaufort and the Point Lay area. And what 13 I'm talking about is that the whales will make a B-line 14 15 from Cape Lisburne to 11 miles out at Icy Cape. If they 16 do that, then this -- if there is drilling activity going 17 on just beyond the black line, then the whales would have 18 to find another route. 19 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. There will not be any leasing shoreward of this green line, regardless of 20 21 the black line. 22 MR. GEORGE AGNASAGGA: We are talking 23 about the --24 HEARING OFFICER: Then what the Secretary did with the next five-year decision, which would happen 25

before this sale, if he decides this ought to be the boundary, that means these areas here would fall out. This area would not be added because we have not studied it under an environmental impact statement. So we would not add in this area here. It would stay here and go like that. So this area here would not be added back into this sale, regardless of what decision is made.

8 The Secretary may make the decision to defer this 9 out, or he could choose one of these deferrals, as well. 10 But there would not be any leasing in here in Sale 193 11 because we haven't studied it under our NEPA analysis, so 12 we couldn't add it back in. We can delete areas out, but 13 we can't add areas that are outside of where we have 14 studied.

MR. GEORGE AGNASAGGA: I will think about it because I've got a brother that sees some people out from this area here. And you will look at that on -- you will see these two here.

HEARING OFFICER: These were leased previously, but they couldn't be leased now. We couldn't offer that area.

MR. GEORGE AGNASAGGA: This was about 15 years ago, 10, 15 years ago. He had to chase them away with a shovel. And these people came in helicopters. But he was able to chase them away. That's how we feel about

our area. It's part of us. That's our garden. 1 2 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. 3 MR. TAGALOOK: In the first place when they first came in for the oil lease sale hearing, we were 4 opposed to that. 5 6 HEARING OFFICER: Right. 7 MR. TAGALOOK: And we will definitely be opposed to oil development out in the ocean because we 8 9 depend on our ocean for our subsistence lifestyle and for 10 the marine mammals that are living out there in the ocean. Thank you. 11 12 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. MR. JOHN HOBSON: What's your plans after 13 you leave Wainwright? When are you coming back? 14 15 HEARING OFFICER: At this point we don't 16 have any plans on when we would be back as part of this process, but we would come back whenever the -- if the 17 18 community wanted us to if they need more information. 19 This is the -- we come out for scoping. We come out for the hearings. Those are two times that we definitely come 20 21 out. And if communities want us out more often, we can 22 come more often; but there is not another planned trip 23 back out before this sale would be held unless we got a 24 request from the community.

25

MR. JOHN HOBSON: What's the deadline?

1 What are we looking at?

2 HEARING OFFICER: December 26th is the3 deadline for the comments.

4 MR. SALYER: On the draft.

5 HEARING OFFICER: On the draft EIS.

6 MR. PANIK: And nothing will be going on 7 after that draft is done and after you receive --

HEARING OFFICER: After we receive the 8 9 draft -- or after we receive the comments, then what we do 10 is we go through the comments and look what's there, and 11 we respond to the comments that are saying you didn't use 12 this information, you didn't have that information, you did something wrong. So we go in and look at each of 13 14 those comments, respond to them, change the analysis where 15 necessary, add analysis, et cetera, and then in the spring 16 we will publish a final EIS.

17 When that comes out, there is another opportunity for 18 people to comment. We send a -- we start the consistency 19 determination process with the State that has to be consistent with coastal zone laws, and we put that. And 20 21 then we are also required by law to go through and send a 22 letter to the governor, and the governor gets to respond 23 to us to tell us what -- and in this case what the new governor would think of the sale, what things she might 24 25 want to add, or whatever. And then the Secretary looks at her comments and makes a final decision on whether to
 proceed with the sale or not. And that decision would
 occur probably in the October time frame.

4 So there are a number of these processes that go on. And I believe the State comes back through the North Slope 5 6 Borough for the community. And then you are able to get 7 involved with the consistency determination review and comments and stuff on that. So there are a number of 8 9 different processes that are ongoing. But the final 10 decision on whether to proceed or not would not occur until probably October, and that's after all of the 11 12 information that's been gathered and the final EIS has been made available. 13

MR. JOHN HOBSON: So we are looking at possibly this coming summer you would probably be back. HEARING OFFICER: We would not come back unless the community wanted us to. But I'm assuming that MMS would be willing to come back if the community wanted us to come and talk.

20 MR. JOHN HOBSON: As for myself, I do want 21 you guys back here. And just give everybody else a chance 22 that's not here to comment. I know you posted notices. I 23 know this has been ongoing, but there are other 24 commitments going on right now. So given the fact that 25 you can come back, we might get more people here later on.

1 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 2 MR. TAGALOOK: It's me again, Terry 3 Tagalook. I'm just wondering if prior to the lease sale, 4 do the oil companies go to the State or the federal? Where do they go to? 5 6 HEARING OFFICER: Prior to the lease 7 sale --8 MR. TAGALOOK: If the Secretary of 9 Interior open the lease sale. 10 HEARING OFFICER: If the Secretary decides to conduct the lease sale, to go forward with it, what 11 12 happens is companies have to come in, and each of those little squares on there is a block, and what they have to 13 do is they have to submit a bid. There is a minimum bid. 14 15 There is a whole bunch of financial requirements they have 16 to meet. And then they put bids in on them. And at a public sale MMS opens the bids up and reads them and we 17 18 decide -- we look at who the high bidder is, and after 19 that process we go through and determine if that bid is 20 adequate. 21 But it's a public lease sale to where anybody, companies or individuals, can go in and bid on those 22 23 leases, but it's -- I think it's like \$25 an acre minimum bid. So it's fairly hefty money. And all the monies 24

25 that's received from these lease sales goes to the federal

1 2 back to the agency. It goes to the federal treasury. 3 MR. TAGALOOK: Why not give some of that 4 money to the villages that are going to be impacted? HEARING OFFICER: This is a question that 5 6 we get quite a bit, and I'll give you a short answer and I'll give you a long answer. The short answer is 7 Congress -- because this is money that goes into the 8 9 federal treasury, only Congress can appropriate funds. 10 It's not up to my agency or the Secretary of Interior to 11 say we think a percent of this money ought to go to the 12 community. As part of the Energy Bill -- and I think it's 2004. 13 Can you help me there, Albert? They set aside what they 14 15 called community impact assistance program to where I 16 think it was \$250,000,000 over a five-year period is to 17 come back to communities wherever there is OCS 18 development. And some of that will come to the State of 19 Alaska. Some of that will come to the North Slope Borough 20 and communities.

21 The downside of it is that is based on where the 22 federal government is collecting royalties and leases. 23 And if you look at the OCS program, most of the money collected by us is in the Gulf of Mexico. The Gulf of 24 25 Mexico produces about 25 percent of the oil used in the

treasury, the same as, like, your taxes. It does not come

U.S. and about 30 percent of the gas. So the majority of
 money that will go back to the states and local
 communities is going down there because they are the ones
 that have generated most of the money.

5 Now, if this program keeps funded by Congress and 6 keeps along and if there is development here, there is 7 potential for more money to come to the communities; but again, it's up to Congress to keep that going and keep 8 9 adding it to us. But in this case, it would be Congress 10 that you would have to keep pushing to keep giving money to it. I think MMS is supported. We need to get money to 11 12 offset local communities, but we do not have the authority to appropriate funds. 13

MR. TAGALOOK: Well, if the federal government can fund space exploration, and it funded billions and billions of dollars for exploration, why not do it up here, too.

HEARING OFFICER: I don't disagree with you, but again, Congress is the one who has to handle that. The executive side, which is the Presidential side, cannot make those determinations. The President submits a budget, but Congress is the one who passes it, and they are the only ones that can appropriate funds. So I share some of your frustration.

25 MR. JOHN HOBSON: Do you guys have a

stipulation in there to request for a mitigation fund from 1 2 the industry to the impacted villages? 3 HEARING OFFICER: No 4 MR. JOHN HOBSON: Can that be put in there so that they are dictated to go do this mitigation 5 6 program? 7 HEARING OFFICER: I -- I can't give you the direct answer on that. That has come up before, and I 8 9 think there are some legal issues with how that's crafted. 10 I think what happened with Northstar, the North Slope Borough was involved, and as part of their process at 11 12 Northstar, BP put such a fund together. And it was handled through that process. It was not handled through 13 14 a lease stipulation. I know there are certain things that 15 we can't require. And I can't tell you -- it would 16 probably be how that was crafted and developed as to whether or not it would be legal. I don't know. But I 17 18 think they are hard to come by. 19 MR. BARROS: That was handled under what 20 BP called their good neighbor policy. And so if things 21 occur, you may want to check with the North Slope Borough 22 on the good neighbor policy with BP, find out a little 23 more background information on that because that was between the North Slope Borough and BP. The MMS was not 24

involved in that. As Fred says, we cannot require --

25

1 legally we cannot require that.

MR. JOHN HOBSON: I just want to say for 2 3 the record I'm disappointed that we have to go through 4 this process. I oppose offshore activities to the fullest. I want to fight this to the extent that I 5 6 possibly can, and I'll continue to do it for as long as I 7 live. It's for the protection of the food we eat, the 8 life we live, and the survival of our people that are --9 that live out here in the middle of nowhere. The only 10 time we get noticed is when we are on the news about something dramatic like an oil spill or an accident. 11 But 12 that doesn't mean we should give up on fighting this. We got to fight this to the fullest. Offshore is not the 13 answer to -- to our problems. We have lived long enough 14 15 to know that, and we will keep doing so. Thank you. 16 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 17 MR. SALYER: Thank you. 18 MR. JOHN HOBSON: But I also want to thank 19 you guys for coming. It gives us the opportunity to give you guys crap, but it's crap that is well needed to be 20 21 said to put us on the map and noticed. Thank you. 22 HEARING OFFICER: You are welcome. Ι 23 would like to thank the community for having us come. MR. JOHN HOBSON: And I'll keep inviting 24 you guys to keep coming over because that's what we need. 25

It's a healthy way of doing things. The only problem is 1 2 every time a meeting like this happens is we say the same 3 thing over and over, but everything goes on without -without our input. We don't get a word in. You say and 4 you will tell me we do, but it's happening. 5 6 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I'll -- the only 7 thing I disagree with what you said is we relay your 8 input. We do give input. I do not think the results that 9 are occurring after that input are the results you would 10 like. But I guarantee you they are being informed that the communities here are against it and what you are 11 12 saying. At this point I would agree with you, I have not seen the decisionmakers change their mind based on that 13 input. I'll acknowledge that for you. 14 15 MR. JOHN HOBSON: Thank you. 16 HEARING OFFICER: And we will keep coming. 17 As long as this is here and the community wants us back, 18 we will come back. 19 MR. PANIK: And tell that Secretary to take time off and come up here. 20 21 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. He was on the 22 North Slope this summer right after he took his job, but I 23 don't think he came to Wainwright. MR. JOHN HOBSON: I promise we won't be 24 25 Dick Cheney. He won't get shot. Dick Cheney ain't here.

We may be republicans, but we are smarter than that. 1 MR. BILLY NASHOALOOK: As long as our 2 3 comments don't go in the trash, that's all right. HEARING OFFICER: They do not. 4 MR. GEORGE AGNASAGGA: One last comment. 5 6 You know there is whale all over the world. We have whale 7 here only a few miles from Wainwright. Still we are paying the highest price in gasoline and diesel than 8 9 everybody else. We can get it cheaper from Mexico. 10 MR. JOHN HOBSON: That's a big problem, huh? Why is that, anyways? A lot of the oil and gas 11 12 comes from up here, yet in America we pay the highest prices for gas and oil, literally. 13 14 HEARING OFFICER: Yep. 15 MR. JOHN HOBSON: It comes from our own 16 backyard. It's ridiculous. It's an embarrassment to the country itself. You make your -- you make your people who 17 live on top of oil pay the most. It's -- it's -- it's 18 19 sick, you know. I would be ashamed to be a leader in the federal government with that kind of mentality going on. 20 21 I would be ashamed to be sitting where you are sitting because of that. That's wrong. 22 23 HEARING OFFICER: I understand what you 24 are saying, but from that point the federal government

does not control the price of gasoline or the price of

1 oil. 2 MR. JOHN HOBSON: Well, it can if it does 3 its policies right. HEARING OFFICER: If -- maybe what we need 4 to do is run you for higher office and get you in behind 5 6 Senator Stevens and stuff so you can change stuff. 7 MR. JOHN HOBSON: At the rate we are going, I'd rather shoot myself, you know, because it's an 8 9 embarrassment. That's how bad it is, literally, in 10 America. We produce a lot of oil for you people and you 11 benefitted -- you benefit from it like crazy. And what do 12 we do? Suffer. And no federal assistance on that part, 13 you know. 14 HEARING OFFICER: Yep. 15 MR. JOHN HOBSON: It's literally an 16 embarrassment. 17 HEARING OFFICER: I can't explain it all 18 because I know that Canada exports oil to the U.S., and 19 yet they pay a higher price than we do. 20 MR. JOHN HOBSON: That's Canada's problem. 21 They are a different country. 22 HEARING OFFICER: There is a lot of 23 different things going on, and that's one point that I can't control. And it's not within the Department of 24 25 Interior's decision making. I'm sorry. Or I would

guarantee you there would be lower prices around here.

1

2 MR. JOHN HOBSON: Maybe we ought to do the 3 duck-in like they did back then. We just go to Prudhoe 4 and stop everything and say until we get what we want, you ain't getting any more oil from us. Maybe that's the 5 6 thing that people need to do, get all together and stop 7 development up there until we get what we want. We can do 8 We have the power to say no. That's our right. It's it. 9 something we ought to think about. Just go shut that 10 whole place down, see what the federal government will do. Maybe they will start giving us money left and right. 11 Who 12 knows?

MR. TAGALOOK: One final comment I'd like to make is I think it's the oil companies that are making the profits, and if you can help us, federal government should stop oil companies from going out in our oceans. That's all we ask.

18 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. Are 19 there other comments? Anybody else like to make a comment? Okay. I would, on behalf of MMS, like to thank 20 21 everyone for coming. I'd like to remind you that the 22 comment period for this draft EIS ends on December 26th. 23 There is still opportunity if you would like to put in 24 written comments or you may go onto our web page if you 25 have a computer and you can enter them directly into our

MMS government web page. I think that's on the handout. 1 2 And we will take your suggestion there and we will pass it 3 on and see what we can do about coming back later this 4 summer. And okay. There is a comment. 5 MR. GEORGE AGNASAGGA: When you go back, 6 do you go to the government planning department? Do you 7 attend their meetings and have comments? 8 HEARING OFFICER: We receive comments and 9 stuff from the departments, and actually I think we can 10 get them both from the department, and also normally the 11 North Slope Borough themselves will make comments. 12 MR. GEORGE AGNASAGGA: You do receive 13 public comments from them? HEARING OFFICER: Yes. 14 And in fact, I 15 believe -- I'll take this back. We have received comments 16 from the North Slope Borough on the Beaufort Sea sale. We 17 haven't received any from here. But I'm very sure North 18 Slope Borough will comment on this. They always comment 19 on it. And sometimes the departments both within the State of Alaska and within the North Slope Borough will 20 21 send us their own individual comments. Okay. 22 The village has offered some door prizes, and I am 23 going to turn it over to them to help award the prizes. And I thank them very much for offering the door prizes. 24 25 (Proceedings adjourned at 8:52 p.m.)

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	I, MARY A. VAVRIK, RMR, Notary Public in and for
3	the State of Alaska do hereby certify:
4	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before
5	me at the time and place herein set forth; that the
6	proceedings were reported stenographically by me and later
7	transcribed under my direction by computer transcription;
8	that the foregoing is a true record of the proceedings
9	taken at that time; and that I am not a party to nor have
10	I any interest in the outcome of the action herein
11	contained.
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed
13	my hand and affixed my seal this day of
14	2006.
15	
16	
	MARY A. VAVRIK,
17	Registered Merit Reporter
	Notary Public for Alaska
18	
19	My Commission Expires: November 5, 2008
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	